

UNITED STATES . PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/958,568

SUITE 1400

SCOTT C HARRIS

FISH AND RICHARDSON

LA JOLLA CA 93027

4225 EXECUTIVE SQUARE

10/28/97

OHTANI

Н

07977/192001

MM42/0203 7

EXAMINER

HU.S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED:

02/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/958,568

Applicant(s)

Ohtani et al.

Examiner

Shouxiang Hu

Group Art Unit 2811



X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 29, 1999
	This action is FINAL.
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>one</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Dis	sposition of Claim
	Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.
	☐ Claim(s)is/are objected to.
۸	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 33 0.3.5. § 119(a)-(a).
	received.
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	*Certified copies not received:
	☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Αt	tachment(s)
	☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/958,568

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. This application contains claims 13-55 directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - 1. Embodiment of Figures 1(A) -1(F)
 - 2. Embodiment of Figures 3(A) 3(F)
 - 3. Embodiment of Figures 4(A) 4 (F)
 - 4. Embodiment of Figures 5(A) 5(F)
 - 5. Embodiment of Figures 8(A) 8(C)
 - 2. Embodiment of Figures 9-10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 08/958,568

Art Unit: 2811

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Robert F. Gnuse on 9-21-99 to request an oral election to 2. the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 3. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 08/958,568

Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306-5729.

10m Iroms

SH

February 2, 2000